

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/598,372	06/21/2000	Laurent Ouvry	034299-260	7291
75	590 07/31/2003			
ROBERT E. R		EXAMINER		
THELEN REID P. O. BOX 640		FAN, CHIEH M		
SAN JOSE, CA	95164-0640		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2634	0
			DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



<u> </u>	Applicatio	n No	Applicant(s)				
u u				C			
Office Action Summary	09/598,37	<u> </u>	OUVRY ET AL.				
omee near cumulary	Examiner		Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Chieh M Fa		2634	ldross			
Period for Reply	m appears on the	cover sneet with the t	onespondence ac	uress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 ( after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no eve on. s, a reply within the statu period will apply and will statute. cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tir tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. & 133)	y. ommunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 21 June 2000 .						
	This action is i	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the applica							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a Application Papers	and/or election re	quirement.					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 June 2000</u> is/ar		or b) Objected to by t	he Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			, ,	er.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required			•				
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		• ,	, , , , ,				
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been	received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docu			on No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for</li> </ul>	priority documer al Bureau (PCT F	nts have been receive Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National	Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do				application)			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag	e provisional app	lication has been rec	eived.	арриодиону.			
Attachment(s)			· · · ·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) :	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	(PTO-413) Paper No( Patent Application (PTo	s) D-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Offi	ce Action Summary		Part of Paper No. 9				

Art Unit: 2634

ũ, , ,

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. Figures 1-7 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The Drawings are also objected because Figs. 1-3, 7 and 8 contains elements represented by boxes without labels. The elements have numbers associated with them but no labels to tell a reader what they do without referring to the disclosure, and their functions are not apparent from the boxes used to represent them. Appropriate correction is required. For example, element 10 in Fig. 1 may be labeled "correlation means".

### Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

Application/Control Number: 09/598,372

Art Unit: 2634

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

In particular, the applicants are reminded that the abstract should only have one paragraph. Further, the first and the third paragraphs are grammatically awkward.

## Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-3 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claim 1, "at least one multiple access interference suppression stage" in line 3 should be changed to – <u>a plurality of</u> multiple access interference suppression <u>stages</u> – so as to be consistent with the subsequent limitation "the interference suppression stages" recited in lines 24-25.

Regarding claim 3, "ESI<sub>1</sub>" in line 5 should be – ESI<sub>i</sub> --.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 2634

5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 1 recites means (131, 132, 133) for producing synchronization signals able to control the interference suppression means and means (161, 162, 163) for producing synchronization signals able to control the decision means (151, 152, 153) of the final stage (ED) in lines 15-18. These limitations are directed to the reference numerals of the embodiment in Fig. 7. However, on the other hand, the rest limitations are referred to the embodiment shown in Fig. 8. The specification of the present application never teaches the embodiments in Figs 7 and 8 may be used together. Further, as shown in Fig. 7, the interference suppression means (121, 122, 123) never receives a control signal from the means (131, 132, 133) for producing synchronization signal. Therefore, the claimed limitation "means (131, 132, 133) for producing synchronization signals able to control the interference suppression means" does not have support in the specification.

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2634

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the means for producing synchronization signals are constituted by k means (171, 172, 173)" in lines 19-20. It is not clear the k means are constituted in "the means (131, 132, 133) for producing synchronization signal able to control the interference suppression means" (see lines 15-16) or "the means (161, 162, 163) for producing synchronization signals able to control the decision means (151, 152, 153) of the final stage (ED)" (see lines 17-18).

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wagner et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,229,857), Seo (U.S. Patent No. 6,222,833), Takeuchi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,467,368), Zeger et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,099,493), Gutleber (U.S. Patent No. 4,470,138) and Buehrer et al. ("Analysis of DS-CDMA Parallel Interference Cancellation with Phase and Timing Errors", IEEE Journal of Selected Areas in Communication, Oct. 1996).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chieh M Fan whose telephone number is (703) 305-0198. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00AM-5:30PM, Alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (703) 305-4714. The fax phone numbers

'Application/Control Number: 09/598,372

Art Unit: 2634

Page 6

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750.

Chut I I

Art Unit 2634

cmf July 27, 2003